

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/495,268	01/31/2000	Michael O. Cimini	13D13211	4650	
29399	7590 11/10/2004		EXAMINER		
JOHN S. B	JOHN S. BEULICK			WOO, ISAAC M	
	C/O ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE			PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 2600 ST. LOUIS, MO 63102-2740			2162 DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004 \8		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/495,268	CIMINI ET AL.				
nancery near	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Isaac M Woo	2162				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 20 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a				
_	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or				
 1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: 						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:	•					
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:		JEAN ECAMIELUS PENNARY EXAMINER				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 09/495,268





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amended claims 1 and 20, lines 8-11, recite additional limitations in comparing to the finally rejected claims 1 and 13:

"at least one", "at least one of said tables comprising at least one failure mode associated with the error proofing example", "at least one failure mode" and "when creating the at least one". "storing failure modes in the table associated with the error prrofing example". Thus, they require further consideration and search.